

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,257	AALTONEN, JANNE	
	Examiner DANIEL MITCHELL	Art Unit 2477	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) DANIEL MITCHELL. (3) _____. _____

(2) Christopher Swickhamer (Reg. No. 59,853). (4) _____. _____

Date of Interview: 29 April 2010 **Time:** 4:00pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

25, 29, 30, 33, 37, 38, 40, 45, 46

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An Examiner's Amendment was proposed incorporating the subject matter from dependent claims 29 and 30; 37 and 38; and 45 and 46 into independent claims 25, 33, and 40 respectively. However no agreement was reached based on the Attorney not receiving correspondence from his client.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)